

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

TUESDAY, THE THIRTIETH DAY OF AUGUST
TWO THOUSAND AND FIVE

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE K.C. BHANU

WRIT PETITION NO : 14688 of 2001

Between:

Avula Srinivasa Rao, S/o. Sri Ramulu,
C/o. Sri P.Srinivas, C/o. Smt.K.Sharadha,
H.V., near Oil Bunk, Cherla, Khammam District.

..... PETITIONER

AND

1. The State of A.P. Rep. by it's Secretary,
Industries and Commerce Department, Secretariat ,
Hyderabad.
 2. The Director - Welfare & Administration,
- Singareni Collieries Company Ltd,
Kothagudem, Khammam Dist.

.....RESPONDENTS

The Court made the following:

ORDER:

-

Challenging the impugned action of the respondents in not providing employment to the petitioner under land losers category in spite of directions issued by the first respondent in Government Memo No.813/1D/B1-2, Industries and Commerce

Department, Hyderaad, dated 07.07.1987, the present writ petition has been filed.

The main grievance of the petitioner is that in spite of the certificate called land losers certificate issued by the Revenue Divisional Officer, Palvancha, the petitioner made several representations from time to time to the second respondent to provide employment to him under land losers category. But, the second respondent has not provided any employment to him till today under land losers category even though certain instructions given by the first respondent in Government Memo No.813/1D/B1-2, Industries and Commerce Department, Hyderabad dated 07.07.1987.

Having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case and in view of the fact that the Government issued instructions in Government Memo No.813/1D/B1-2, Industries and Commerce Department, Hyderabad, dated 07.07.1987, it is for the second respondent to take necessary steps whether or not the petitioner is eligible for providing employment under land losers category in accordance with the rules and regulations as well as the Government instructions stated supra.

Therefore, without going into the merits of the case, I deem it appropriate to direct the second respondent to consider the case of the petitioner under land losers category, if he is qualified, in accordance with the rules and regulations as well as the Government instructions stated supra, subject to the availability of the said scheme.

With the above direction, the writ petition is disposed of. No costs.

(K.C. BHANU, J)

Date: 30.08.2005

